MAR 1 1 2020

NORTHERN USAN Y

OKANOF CAUSE ORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THE ESTATE OF SAHLEEM TINDLE,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JOSEPH MATEU, III,

Defendant.

CASE No. 18-cv-05755-YGR

VERDICT FORM (PHASE TWO)

United States District Court Northern District of California

WE, THE JURY IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAS	E, unanimously render the following verdicts:
Question 1: Did PLAINTIFFS prove by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that JOSEPH MATEU
III was negligent under California law for the	use of unreasonable force by a law enforcement
officer in the arrest or other seizure of a person	?
Yes	No
If you answered "yes" to Question 1, p	lease answer Question 2. If you answered "no,"
sign and date this form.	
Question 2: Did JOSEPH MATEU III prove	by a preponderance of the evidence that SAHLEEM
TINDLE's own negligence was a substantial fa	actor in his death?
Yes	No
If you answered "yes" to Question Nur	nber 2, please answer all remaining questions. If
you answered "no," skip Question 3 ar	nd proceed to the "Damages" section.
Question 3: What percentage of responsibility JOSEPH MATEU III: SAHLEEM TINDLE: TOTAL	for SAHLEEM TINDLE's death do you assign to: 32 % 68 % 100%
DA	MAGES
We, THE JURY AWARD THE FOLLOWING DAM	MAGES:
Economic Damages:	\$ 10,800 <u>.00</u>
Noneconomic Damages	1 (100 0000
Please sign and date this verdict form, then re	turn to the Court.
Dated: 03/11/2020 F	OREPERSON NUMBER Maria C. Jan A OREPERSON SIGNATURE